

EFF-DOC-994



U. Fischer, D. Leichtle, A. Serikov Association FZK-Euratom Forschungszentrum Karlsruhe

EFF/EAF Monitoring Meeting, NEA Data Bank, Paris, November 20-22, 2006

# Background

- FENDL-2.1
  - Revision to FENDL-2.0 (1995/96)
  - Compiled November 2003, see report INDC(NDS)-451
  - Working libraries prepared by IAEA/NDS, see INDC(NDS)-467 (2004)
  - New reference data library for ITER neutronics calculations
  - ITER nuclear analyses service enabling activities (CAD MCNP benchmark)
  - QA specification document for ITER neutronic analyses
- Need for
  - Qualification  $\Rightarrow$  benchmark analyses
  - Validation  $\Rightarrow$  fusion benchmark experiments
- Approach
  - 1. Computational ITER benchmark, EFF-DOC-975 (May 2006)
  - 2. Re-analyses of FNG benchmark experiments

# FNG Fusion Benchmark Experiments

- ITER bulk shield experiment (EFF-DOC-405)
  - Measurement of neutron/photon flux spectra by TUD (EFF-DOC-483)
- ITER streaming experiment (EFF–DOC-626)
  - Measurement of neutron/photon flux spectra by TUD (EFF-DOC-640)
- W bulk shield experiment (EFF–DOC-863)
  - Measurement of neutron/ photon flux spectra by TUD (EFF-DOC-857)
- HCPB breeder mock-up experiment (EFF–DOC-938)
  - Measurement of Tritium generated in Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> pellets (EFF-DOC-956)
  - Measurement of neutron/photon flux spectra in back of assembly by TUD (EFF-DOC-972)

⇒ ENEA measurements of activation rates & T production already analysed by P. Batistoni et al. (EFF-DOC-964, May 2006)

# Procedure for Benchmark Analyses

- Re-calculate FNG fusion benchmark experiments
  - Using MCNP4C and FENDL-2.1 ACE data
  - Using available MCNP input deck & FNG neutron source
- Compare to experimental data
- Compare to results obtained with FENDL-2.0 and EFF-3.0/JEFF-3.1

## **FENDL** Data Evaluations

#### FENDL/E-1.0

ENDF/B-VI	<sup>1,3</sup> H, <sup>6,7</sup> Li, <sup>9</sup> Be, <sup>10,11</sup> B, C, <sup>16</sup> O, <sup>19</sup> F, <sup>31</sup> P, S, CI, K, V, <sup>50, 52-54</sup> Cr, <sup>55</sup> Mn, <sup>54, 56-58</sup> Fe, <sup>59</sup> Co, <sup>58, 60-62,64</sup> Ni,
	<sup>63,65</sup> Cu, <sup>134-138</sup> Ba, <sup>182-184,186</sup> W, <sup>206-208</sup> Pb
JENDL-3	<sup>23</sup> Na, Mg, <sup>27</sup> Al, Ca, Ti, Mo, <sup>181</sup> Ta, <sup>209</sup> Bi
BROND-2	<sup>2</sup> H, <sup>14,15</sup> N, Si, <sup>90-92,94,96</sup> Zr, <sup>93</sup> Nb, Sn

FENDL/E-2.0

JENDL-FF <sup>9</sup>Be, <sup>12</sup>C, <sup>14</sup>N, <sup>16</sup>O, <sup>51</sup>V, <sup>nat</sup>Zr, <sup>93</sup>Nb, <sup>nat</sup>Mo, <sup>nat</sup>W EFF-3 <sup>27</sup>Al, <sup>56</sup>Fe ENDF/B-VI <sup>28, 29, 30</sup>Si BROND-2 <sup>2</sup>H, <sup>nat</sup>Sn

# FENDL-2.1 Original Data Sources

No.	Library	NMAT	Materials
1	ENDF/B-VI.8	40	<sup>2</sup> H, <sup>3</sup> H, <sup>4</sup> He, <sup>6</sup> Li, <sup>7</sup> Li, <sup>9</sup> Be, <sup>10</sup> B, <sup>11</sup> B, <sup>16</sup> O, <sup>19</sup> F, <sup>28-30</sup> Si, <sup>31</sup> P, S,
	(E6)		<sup>35,37</sup> Cl, K, <sup>50,52-54</sup> Cr, <sup>54,57,58</sup> Fe, <sup>59</sup> Co, <sup>61,62,64</sup> Ni, <sup>63,65</sup> Cu,
			<sup>197</sup> Au, <sup>206-208</sup> Pb, <sup>209</sup> Bi, <sup>182-184,186</sup> W
2	JENDL-3.3	18	<sup>1</sup> H, <sup>3</sup> He, <sup>23</sup> Na, <sup>46-50</sup> Ti, , <sup>55</sup> Mn, <sup>92,94-98,100</sup> Mo, <sup>181</sup> Ta,V
	(J33)		
3	JENDL-3.2	3	Mg, Ca, Ga
	(J32)		
4	JENDL-FF	4	<sup>12</sup> C, <sup>14</sup> N, Zr, <sup>93</sup> Nb
	(JFF)		
5	JEFF-3 (EFF)	4	<sup>27</sup> Al, <sup>56</sup> Fe, <sup>58</sup> Ni, <sup>60</sup> Ni
	JEFF3		
6	BROND-2.1	2	<sup>15</sup> N, Sn
	(BR2)		

# ITER Bulk Shield Mock-up Experiment at FNG

#### Measurements of neutron/photon flux spectra by TUD (K. Seidel et al.)

- Mock-up of ITER inboard blanket/shield system with thickness of 94 cm (alternating plates of SS-316 and of Perspex).
- Backed by 30 cm thick block of alternating SS-316 and Cu plates simulating TF-coil.
- Neutron and photon flux spectra measured at positions A (41.4 cm) and B (87.6 cm)
- Neutron spectra measured in the energy range between about 20 keV and 15 MeV.
  - A set of gas-filled proportional counters and a stilbene scintillation spectrometer used in the energy range up to 3 MeV.
  - NE-213 scintillation spectrometer for energy range 1 to 15 MeV.
- Photon flux spectra measured with NE-213 spectrometer above 0.2 MeV.





U. Fischer et al, FENDL-2.1 benchmark analyses



#### U. Fischer et al, FENDL-2.1 benchmark analyses





# **ITER Bulk Shield Mock-up Experiment**

#### C/E comparison for neutron flux integrals

	Position A			Statistical error (fsd):			sd):
Energy, MeV	FENDL-2.0	FENDL-2.1	JEFF-3.1		FENDL-2.0	FENDL-2.1	JEFF-3.1
0.1 - 1.0	0.89	0.90	0.91		0.35%	0.43%	0.40%
1.0 - 5.0	0.93	0.93	0.94		0.48%	0.59%	0.55%
5.0 - 10.0	0.97	0.98	0.99		1.14%	1.40%	1.32%
E > 10.0	0.82	0.81	0.80		1.14%	1.43%	1.34%
E > 0.1	0.90	0.90	0.91		0.27%	0.33%	0.31%
		Position B			Stati	stical error (fs	sd):
Energy, MeV	FENDL-2.0	Position B FENDL-2.1	JEFF-3.1		Statis FENDL-2.0	stical error (fs FENDL-2.1	d): JEFF-3.1
Energy, MeV 0.1 - 1.0	FENDL-2.0 0.72	Position B FENDL-2.1 0.75	JEFF-3.1 0.76		Statis FENDL-2.0 0.40%	stical error (fs FENDL-2.1 0.48%	d): JEFF-3.1 0.45%
Energy, MeV 0.1 - 1.0 1.0 - 5.0	FENDL-2.0 0.72 0.80	Position B FENDL-2.1 0.75 0.82	JEFF-3.1 0.76 0.85		Statis FENDL-2.0 0.40% 0.65%	stical error (fs FENDL-2.1 0.48% 0.79%	sd): JEFF-3.1 0.45% 0.74%
Energy, MeV 0.1 - 1.0 1.0 - 5.0 5.0 - 10.0	FENDL-2.0 0.72 0.80 0.98	Position B FENDL-2.1 0.75 0.82 1.03	JEFF-3.1 0.76 0.85 1.06		Statis FENDL-2.0 0.40% 0.65% 1.63%	stical error (fs FENDL-2.1 0.48% 0.79% 2.00%	sd): JEFF-3.1 0.45% 0.74% 1.85%
Energy, MeV 0.1 - 1.0 1.0 - 5.0 5.0 - 10.0 E > 10.0	FENDL-2.0 0.72 0.80 0.98 0.81	Position B FENDL-2.1 0.75 0.82 1.03 0.81	JEFF-3.1 0.76 0.85 1.06 0.82		Statis FENDL-2.0 0.40% 0.65% 1.63% 1.63%	stical error (fs FENDL-2.1 0.48% 0.79% 2.00% 2.00%	d): JEFF-3.1 0.45% 0.74% 1.85% 1.90%

⇒ No significant differences between FENDL-2.0, -2.1 and JEFF-3.1

# **ITER Bulk Shield Mock-up Experiment**

#### C/E comparison for photon flux integrals

	Position A				Stat	istical error (fso	d):	
Energy, MeV	FENDL-2.0 FENDL-2.1 JEFF-3.1				FENDL-2.0	FENDL-2.1	JEFF-3.1	
0.4 - 1.0	0.88	0.90	0.91		0.31%	0.37%	0.35%	
1.0 - 10.5	0.97	1.01	1.02		0.30%	0.36%	0.34%	
E > 0.4	0.93	0.96	0.97		0.22%	0.26%	0.25%	
	Position B				Statistical error (fsd):			
Energy, MeV	FENDL-2.0	FENDL-2.1	JEFF-3.1		FENDL-2.0	FENDL-2.1	JEFF-3.1	
0.4 - 1.0	0.82	0.84	0.86		0.46%	0.56%	0.52%	
1.0 - 10.5	0.88	0.91	0.92		0.41%	0.51%	0.48%	
E > 0.4	0.85	0.88	0.89		0.31%	0.38%	0.36%	

⇒ No significant differences between FENDL-2.0, -2.1 and JEFF-3.1

# **ITER Streaming Experiment at FNG**

#### Measurements of neutron/photon flux spectra by TUD (K. Seidel et al.)

- Mock-up of ITER inboard blanket/shield system with streaming channel in the blanket and cavity at the bottom of channel.
- Neutron and photon flux spectra measured at positions A (41.4 cm) and B (87.6 cm) with source on and off axis (A0, B0).
- Additional measurements with detectors shifted off the axis by 7.5 cm, 15.0 and 9.0 cm (A1, A2 and B1).
- Neutron spectra measured in the energy range between about 20 keV and 15 MeV.
  - A set of gas-filled proportional counters and a stilbene scintillation spectrometer used in the energy range up to 3 MeV.
  - NE-213 scintillation spectrometer for energy range 1 to 15 MeV.
- Photon flux spectra measured with NE-213 spectrometer above 0.2 MeV.



















U. Fischer et al, FENDL-2.1 benchmark analyses



#### C/E comparison for neutron flux integrals

	Position A0				Statistical error (fsd) in A0-position:			
Energy, MeV	FENDL-2.0	FENDL-2.1	JEFF-3.1		FENDL-2.0	FENDL-2.1	JEFF-3.1	
0.1 - 1.0	1.37	1.39	1.42		0.59%	0.57%	0.63%	
1.0 - 5.0	1.37	1.38	1.34		0.81%	0.80%	0.90%	
5.0 - 10.0	1.73	1.79	1.80		1.22%	1.20%	1.26%	
E > 10.0	0.78	0.78	0.78		0.70%	0.69%	0.75%	
		Position A1			Statistical error (fsd) in A1-position:			
Energy, MeV	FENDL-2.0	FENDL-2.1	JEFF-3.1		FENDL-2.0	FENDL-2.1	JEFF-3.1	
0.1 - 1.0	Inf	Inf	Inf		0.17%	0.17%	0.19%	
1.0 - 5.0	0.89	0.89	0.90		0.21%	0.21%	0.23%	
5.0 - 10.0	0.96	0.97	1.00		0.42%	0.42%	0.47%	
E > 10.0	0.90	0.89	0.91		0.40%	0.41%	0.45%	
		Position A2			Statistical error (fsd) in A2-position:			
Energy, MeV	FENDL-2.0	FENDL-2.1	JEFF-3.1		FENDL-2.0	FENDL-2.1	JEFF-3.1	
0.1 - 1.0	Inf	Inf	Inf		0.16%	0.15%	0.17%	
1.0 - 5.0	0.88	0.89	0.90		0.22%	0.21%	0.22%	
5.0 - 10.0	0.92	0.93	0.96		0.49%	0.47%	0.51%	
E > 10.0	0.90	0.89	0.90		0.49%	0.47%	0.50%	
	Position B0				Statistical error (fsd) in B0-position:			
Energy, MeV	FENDL-2.0	FENDL-2.1	JEFF-3.1		FENDL-2.0	FENDL-2.1	JEFF-3.1	
0.1 - 1.0	0.77	0.79	0.80		0.56%	0.61%	0.59%	
1.0 - 5.0	0.88	0.90	0.90		0.65%	0.71%	0.69%	
5.0 - 10.0	1.03	1.06	1.10		0.76%	0.83%	0.81%	
E > 10.0	0.70	0.70	0.70		1.01%	1.11%	1.08%	
	Position B1				Statistical e	error (fsd) in B1	-position:	
Energy, MeV	FENDL-2.0	FENDL-2.1	JEFF-3.1		FENDL-2.0	FENDL-2.1	JEFF-3.1	
0.1 - 1.0	Inf	Inf	Inf		0.51%	0.56%	0.51%	
1.0 - 5.0	0.71	0.72	0.73		0.58%	0.63%	0.58%	
5.0 - 10.0	0.84	0.86	0.90		0.73%	0.79%	0.72%	
E > 10.0	0.69	0.68	0.70		0.73%	0.80%	0.74%	

U. Fischer et al, FENDL-2.1 benchmark analyses

#### C/E comparison for photon flux integrals

	Position A0				Statistical error (fsd) in A0-position:		
Energy, MeV	FENDL-2.0	FENDL-2.1	JEFF-3.1		FENDL-2.0	FENDL-2.1	JEFF-3.1
0.4 - 1.0	0.82	0.80	0.80		0.73%	0.68%	0.76%
E > 1.0	0.82	0.84	0.83		0.62%	0.59%	0.64%
		Position A1			Statistical e	error (fsd) in A1	-position:
Energy, MeV	FENDL-2.0	FENDL-2.1	JEFF-3.1		FENDL-2.0	FENDL-2.1	JEFF-3.1
0.4 - 1.0	0.99	1.00	1.01		0.31%	0.32%	0.36%
E > 1.0	0.99	1.01	1.00		0.24%	0.23%	0.25%
		Position A2			Statistical error (fsd) in A2-position:		
Energy, MeV	FENDL-2.0	FENDL-2.1	JEFF-3.1		FENDL-2.0	FENDL-2.1	JEFF-3.1
0.4 - 1.0	0.93	0.94	0.93		0.33%	0.32%	0.34%
E > 1.0	0.93	0.96	0.95		0.25%	0.24%	0.27%
	Position B0				Statistical e	error (fsd) in B0	-position:
Energy, MeV	FENDL-2.0	FENDL-2.1	JEFF-3.1		FENDL-2.0	FENDL-2.1	JEFF-3.1
0.4 - 1.0	0.83	0.82	0.82		0.68%	0.67%	0.65%
E > 1.0	0.84	0.87	0.90		0.50%	0.49%	3.03%
	Position B1				Statistical error (fsd) in B1-position:		
Energy, MeV	FENDL-2.0	FENDL-2.1	JEFF-3.1		FENDL-2.0	FENDL-2.1	JEFF-3.1
0.4 - 1.0	0.77	0.77	0.78		0.69%	0.83%	1.19%
E > 1.0	0.78	0.80	0.80		0.52%	0.50%	0.54%

- Measurement of neutron & photon flux spectra in W assembly using a NE 213 liquid-scintillation spectrometer (K. Seidel et al., EFF-DOC-857)
  - Spectra measured in four positions in W assembly
- Previous analyses (U. Fischer et al, EFF-DOC-860, EFF-DOC-897, EFF-DOC -931)
  - MCNP4C calculations for 3D model of W assembly & rack, spectrometer, neutron generator and experimental hall (FNG)
  - W data: EFF-2.4 (=JENDL-3.0), FENDL-1(=ENDF/B-VI.0), FENDL-2 (=JENDL-FF), JENDL-3.3 New EFF evaluation (P. Pereslavstev et al, EFF-DOC-912)

FENDL-2.1 = ENDF/B-VI.8



-



U. Fischer et al, FENDL-2.1 benchmark analyses



U. Fischer et al, FENDL-2.1 benchmark analyses



U. Fischer et al, FENDL-2.1 benchmark analyses





U. Fischer et al, FENDL-2.1 benchmark analyses



U. Fischer et al, FENDL-2.1 benchmark analyses



U. Fischer et al, FENDL-2.1 benchmark analyses



U. Fischer et al, FENDL-2.1 benchmark analyses

### FNG Tungsten Benchmark Experiment - C/E comparison of neutron flux integrals -









#### FNG Tungsten Benchmark Experiment - C/E comparison of photon flux integrals -





 $0.4 < E \le 9.0 \text{ MeV}$ 

15

20

Distance, cm

25

30

<sup>35</sup> 6

0.95

0.9<sup>L</sup> 5

10

# HCPB Breeder Blanket Mock-up Experiment

- Benchmark experiment to validate prediction of Tritium production rate in mock-up of HCPB Test Blanket Module
- Performed at FNG by experiental groups of ENEA, TUID and JAEA, (P. Batistoni et al., EFF-DOC-938; K. Seidel et al., EFF-DOC-956)
- MC based analyses using EFF-3, FENDL-2.0, 2.1 (U. Fischer et al., EFF-DOC-954)



# HCPB Breeder Blanket Mock-up Experiment

C/E comparison for Tritium production rates measured in pellets of stacks 1, 3, 5, and 7 (FENDL-2.0, -2.1 data)



# HCPB Breeder Blanket Mock-up Experiment

C/E comparison for Tritium production rates measured in pellets of stacks 1, 3, 5, and 7 (EFF-3 = JEFF-3.1 data)



# Conclusions

- ITER shielding/streaming experiments
  - No significant differences between FENDL-2.0/2.1 and JEFF-3.1
- Tungsten benchmark experiment
  - FENDL-2.1 (ENDF-B/VI-8) performs better than FENDL-2.0 (=JENDL-FF) & JEFF-3.1 (=JENDL-3.3)
- Breeder blanket mock-up experiment
  - No significant differences between FENDL-2.0/2.1 and JEFF-3.1

- ⇒ FENDL-2.1 data library suitable for fusion design applications calculations.
- $\Rightarrow$  JEFF-3.1: W data need to be updated.