

TABLE DES MATIÈRES

NOTE DE SYNTHÈSE ET PORTÉE DU RAPPORT	61
PARTIE I. PRÉSENTATION GÉNÉRALE.....	69
1. INTRODUCTION.....	71
1.1 Activités de l'Agence de l'OCDE pour l'énergie nucléaire	71
1.2 Pourquoi la séparation et la transmutation ?	72
1.3 Pourquoi une étude systémique de la séparation et de la transmutation ?.....	73
1.4 Groupe d'experts	73
1.5 Objectifs du rapport.....	74
2. ÉTAT ACTUEL ET PERSPECTIVES DES TECHNOLOGIES DE SÉPARATION ET DE TRANSMUTATION.....	75
2.1 Stratégies.....	75
2.1.1 Plutonium et uranium.....	75
2.1.2 Actinides mineurs.....	77
2.1.3 Produits de fission.....	78
2.2 Retraitement	79
2.2.1 Plutonium et uranium.....	79
2.2.2 Actinides mineurs.....	80
2.2.3 Produits de fission.....	81
2.3 Technologie de fabrication des combustibles et des cibles	82
2.3.1 Plutonium et uranium.....	82
2.3.2 Actinides mineurs.....	83
2.3.3 Choix des cibles pour les produits de fission	83
3. DESCRIPTION DES CYCLES DU COMBUSTIBLE	85
3.1 Les cycles du combustible nucléaire	85
3.1.1 Le cycle ouvert	86
3.1.2 Le cycle avec retraitement	86
3.1.3 Cycle du combustible avancé avec recyclage des transuraniens et de quelques produits de fission.....	86
3.1.4 Stratégie à double strate	86

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY AND SCOPE OF THE REPORT	17
PART I. GENERAL OVERVIEW	25
1. INTRODUCTION.....	27
1.1 Involvement of OECD/NEA.....	27
1.2 Why P&T?	28
1.3 Why a P&T systems study?	28
1.4 Expert group	29
1.5 Objectives of the report.....	29
2. STATUS AND EXPECTATION OF P&T TECHNOLOGY	31
2.1 Strategies.....	32
2.1.1 Plutonium and uranium	32
2.1.2 Minor actinides	33
2.1.3 Fission products	34
2.2 Reprocessing	34
2.2.1 Plutonium and uranium	34
2.2.2 Minor actinides	35
2.2.3 Fission products	36
2.3 Fuel and target technology	37
2.3.1 Plutonium and uranium	37
2.3.2 Minor actinides	37
2.3.3 Target selection for fission products	38
3. DESCRIPTION OF THE FUEL CYCLES.....	39
3.1 The nuclear fuel cycles.....	39
3.1.1 Once-Through Fuel Cycle (OTC)	40
3.1.2 Reprocessing Fuel Cycle (RFC)	40
3.1.3 Advanced Fuel Cycle with TRU and selected FP recycling (AFC).....	40
3.1.4 Double strata fuel cycle.....	40

3.2	Aperçu des cycles du combustible et questions annexes	89
3.2.1	Cycle ouvert	89
3.2.2	Cycle du combustible avec retraitement et recyclage de l'uranium et du plutonium.....	90
3.2.3	Cycle du combustible avancé avec recyclage des transuraniens	92
3.2.3.1	Impact du retraitement du combustible REO-UO ₂	93
3.2.3.2	Séparation des actinides mineurs des déchets liquides de haute activité provenant du combustible utilisé de REO	93
3.2.3.3	Fabrication des cibles d'actinides mineurs pour recyclage hétérogène dans des REO	94
3.2.3.4	Séparation des produits de fission et d'activation à vie longue	95
3.2.3.5	Recyclage quantitatif de l'uranium et du plutonium dans le combustible REO-MOX	96
3.2.3.6	Retraitement du REO-MOX	96
3.2.3.7	Fabrication de RNR-MOX à faible teneur en actinides mineurs.....	97
3.2.3.8	Fabrication de combustibles métalliques pour les ALMR et des combustibles avancés pour les réacteurs incinérateurs	98
3.2.3.9	Réacteurs incinérateurs rapides.....	98
3.2.3.10	Retraitement du combustible utilisé de RNR	99
3.2.3.11	Transmutation des produits de fission à vie longue.....	100
3.2.3.12	Rôle de la séparation-transmutation dans les cycles du combustible avancé	101
4.	ANALYSE CRITIQUE	103
5.	CONCLUSIONS GÉNÉRALES	107
	RÉFÉRENCES	109

Liste des figures

Figure I.1	Schéma de principe du cycle avec retraitement du combustible des réacteurs à eau ordinaire	76
Figure I.2	Représentation schématique de l'aval d'un cycle du combustible avancé avec recyclage des actinides mineurs.....	76
Figure I.3	Schéma du cycle du combustible avec retraitement pour un parc de REO de 400 TWh (Étude de cas française).....	87
Figure I.4	Flux de matières dans un parc électronucléaire mixte de 700 TWh composé de REP et de RNR (Étude de cas japonaise)	88
Figure I.5	Flux de matières théorique pour un parc de RNR de 700 TWh (Étude de cas japonaise).....	89

La partie II existe exclusivement en version anglaise.

3.2	Overview of the fuel cycles and associated issues	43
3.2.1	Once Through Cycle (OTC).....	43
3.2.2	Reprocessing fuel cycle with U and Pu recycling (RFC).....	44
3.2.3	Advanced fuel cycle with TRU recycling (AFC)	45
3.2.3.1	Impact of reprocessing of LWR-UO ₂ fuel	46
3.2.3.2	Separation of MAs from HLLW resulting from spent LWR fuel	46
3.2.3.3	Fabrication of MA targets for heterogeneous irradiation in LWRs.....	47
3.2.3.4	Separation of long-lived fission and activation products	47
3.2.3.5	Quantitative recycling of U and Pu into LWR-MOX fuel	49
3.2.3.6	Reprocessing of LWR-MOX	49
3.2.3.7	FR-MOX fuel fabrication with limited MA content	49
3.2.3.8	Metal fuel fabrication for ALMRs and advanced fuels for burners reactors.....	50
3.2.3.9	Fast burner reactors (FBuR).....	50
3.2.3.10	FR-spent fuel reprocessing	51
3.2.3.11	Transmutation issues of long-lived fission products.....	52
3.2.3.12	Conclusions on the role of P&T in the AFC option.....	53
4.	CRITICAL EVALUATION	55
5.	GENERAL CONCLUSIONS.....	57
	REFERENCES	59

List of figures

Figure I.1	A schematic diagram of the reprocessing fuel cycle for LWRs	31
Figure I.2	A schematic diagram of back-end of an advanced fuel cycle with minor actinide recycling.....	32
Figure I.3	A schematic diagram of the reprocessing fuel cycle for a 400 TWh LWR park (French case study)	40
Figure I.4	A materials flowsheet for a 700 TWh mixed PWR-FR nuclear electricity grid (Japanese case study).....	41
Figure I.5	A notional materials flowsheet for a 700 TWh FR park (Japanese case study).....	42

PART II. TECHNICAL ANALYSIS AND SYSTEMS STUDY.....	111
1. PARTITIONING	113
1.1 Aqueous separation techniques.....	113
1.1.1 PUREX process	113
1.1.1.1 Minor actinides	114
1.1.1.2 Long-lived fission products.....	114
1.1.1.3 Long-lived activation products.....	116
1.1.1.4 Conclusions	116
1.1.2 Improved separation of long-lived elements in PUREX process	117
1.1.2.1 Neptunium.....	117
1.1.2.2 Technetium.....	117
1.1.2.3 Iodine.....	118
1.1.2.4 Carbon.....	118
1.1.3 Separation of minor actinides	118
1.1.3.1 Neptunium separation	118
1.1.3.2 Actinide/lanthanide separation.....	119
1.1.3.3 Americium/curium separation.....	127
1.1.4 Separation of long-lived fission products	128
1.1.4.1 Separation of strontium and caesium	128
1.1.4.2 Separation of caesium	129
1.1.4.3 Separation of strontium.....	129
1.1.4.4 Separation of technetium and platinum group metals.....	130
1.1.5 Conclusions	130
1.2 Non-aqueous methods	131
1.2.1 Pyrochemical reprocessing of oxide fuels for oxide fuel cycle.....	131
1.2.2 Actinides recycling in a combined FBR and LWR cycles by pyrochemical process	132
1.2.2.1 Pyrochemical reprocessing of metal and oxide fuels	135
1.2.2.2 Pyrochemical separation of transuranic elements from high-level liquid waste.....	136
1.2.3 Condensed actinide-burner cycle: double-strata concept	137
1.2.4 Conclusion.....	138
2. TRANSMUTATION	139
2.1 Introduction	139
2.2 Target and fuel fabrication technology.....	141
2.2.1 Fuels for homogeneous recycling	141
2.2.1.1 Fabrication of fuel including neptunium.....	141
2.2.1.2 Fabrication of MOX fuel containing americium for LWR	141
2.2.1.3 Fabrication of MOX fuel containing MAs for fast reactor	142
2.2.1.4 Fabrication and irradiation of metal alloy fuel including MAs	143
2.2.1.5 Fabrication of nitride fuel including MAs.....	144

2.2.2	Targets for heterogeneous recycling.....	145
2.2.2.1	Fabrication of AmO ₂ target pins	145
2.2.2.2	Effect of curium on target fabrication	146
2.2.2.3	Fabrication of targets for transmutation of fission products.....	146
2.3	Transmutation of minor actinides	147
2.3.1	Physics and methods of transmutation	147
2.3.1.1	Physics	147
2.3.1.2	Methods.....	149
2.3.2	Transmutation of minor actinides in thermal reactors	150
2.3.2.1	Present day PWR reactor	150
2.3.2.2	Molten salt reactor	154
2.3.3	Transmutation of minor actinides in fast reactors.....	154
2.3.3.1	MOX-fuelled fast reactor	154
2.3.3.2	Minor actinides transmutation in metal-fuelled fast reactor.....	160
2.3.4	Transmutation of minor actinides and fission products in dedicated systems	162
2.3.4.1	Dedicated minor actinide burner reactor.....	163
2.3.4.2	Accelerator-driven transmutation systems	164
2.3.5	Nuclear data of minor actinides and long-lived fission products.....	171
2.3.6	Interaction between plutonium incineration and minor actinide production.....	173
2.3.6.1	Present day PWRs.....	173
2.3.6.2	Other alternatives	173
2.3.6.3	FRs without blanket (FBuR).....	174
2.4	Transmutation of long-lived fission products	175
2.4.1	Transmutation of fission products in fission reactors.....	175
2.4.1.1	Transmutation of ⁹⁹ Tc and ¹²⁹ I.....	175
2.4.1.2	Transmutation in fast reactors	176
2.4.1.3	Transmutation in light water reactors.....	177
2.4.1.4	Transmutation in heavy water reactors.....	177
2.4.1.5	Transmutation in thermal high flux reactors.....	177
2.4.1.6	Conclusions	178
2.4.2	Nuclear data on fission products.....	178
3.	DESCRIPTION OF CURRENT TRENDS IN P&T RESEARCH.....	179
3.1	Current R&D activities	179
3.1.1	OMEGA programme.....	179
3.1.2	SPIN programme	180
3.2	Summary of current strategy studies.....	182
3.2.1	European Union strategy study.....	182
3.2.1.1	Reference and P&T scenario	182
3.2.1.2	Potential radiotoxicity	183
3.2.2	Studies in Japan	183
3.2.2.1	MOX-fuelled FBR	184
3.2.2.2	Actinide burner reactor.....	185

4.	IMPACT OF P&T ON RISK ASSESSMENT AND WASTE MANAGEMENT.....	187
4.1	Introduction and definition of radiotoxicity and risk.....	187
4.1.1	Risks in the back-end of the fuel cycle	187
4.1.2	Exposure related risk.....	188
4.1.3	Radiotoxic inventory of radionuclides and irradiated fuel	189
4.1.4	Assessment of the radiological risk.....	190
4.1.5	Decision framework	190
4.1.6	Conclusion and recommendations	191
4.2	Radiotoxic inventory of waste	191
4.3	Possible impact of P&T on TRU reduction.....	195
4.3.1	Necessary facilities to implement P&T	195
4.3.1.1	Inventories of minor actinides to be processed in a AFC scenario	195
4.3.1.2	Recycling scenarios for power reactors	197
4.3.1.3	Results.....	200
4.3.1.4	Influence of non-recycling of curium.....	201
4.3.1.5	Separation performance objective	202
4.3.1.6	Conclusions	203
4.3.2	Impact of separated nuclides	205
4.4	Risk and hazard assessment over time	206
4.4.1	Pre-disposal waste management of RFC operations	206
4.4.2	Radiological impact of waste discharges.....	208
4.4.3	Impact of P&T operations on waste management of AFC	210
4.4.4	Global fuel cycle situation for waste management decisions	211
4.4.5	Evolution of long-term radiotoxic inventory.....	214
4.4.6	Role and impact of cut-off period	215
4.5	Waste management concepts.....	217
4.5.1	OTC concepts for direct disposal.....	217
4.5.1.1	The Spanish repository concept.....	217
4.5.1.2	The Swedish repository concept.....	220
4.5.1.3	Performance assessment of spent fuel disposal in clay	222
4.5.2	RFC concepts for disposal.....	223
4.5.2.1	Disposal in salt formations	223
4.5.2.2	Disposal in clay formations	225
4.5.3	AFC-specific waste management issues (targets, reactor cores).....	227
4.6	Criticality safety	229
5.	COMMENTARY ON EXISTING P&T SYSTEMS STUDIES.....	231
5.1	First generation systems study reports	231
5.2	Second generation reports	232
	REFERENCES	235

<i>Annex A</i>	List of expert group members.....	251
<i>Annex B</i>	OMEGA programme	253
<i>Annex C</i>	SPIN programme.....	273
<i>Annex D</i>	Bibliography on partitioning of minor actinides	285
<i>Annex E</i>	Spent fuel composition as a function of burn-up and cooling times.....	303
<i>Annex F</i>	Elements of cost	311
<i>Annex G</i>	List of abbreviations, units and glossary of terms	317

List of figures

Figure II.1	Behaviour of long-lived elements in PUREX Process	115
Figure II.2	TALSPEAK process.....	120
Figure II.3	DIDPA process.....	121
Figure II.4	TRUEX process	122
Figure II.5	TRPO process	124
Figure II.6	DIAMEX process	125
Figure II.7	Possible separation schemes related to SESAME process	128
Figure II.8	Schematic presentation of pyrochemical reprocessing for oxide fuel (RIAR)	133
Figure II.9	Fuel cycle of actinide burner reactor (DOVITA Fuel Cycle)	134
Figure II.10	Actinide recycling system based on a pyrochemical processing of HLW and FR metal-fuel FR-irradiation.....	135
Figure II.11	Flow sheet of pyro-reprocessing of spent fuel (ANL/CRIEPI).....	136
Figure II.12	Flow sheet of pyrochemical partitioning of TRUs from HLW (CRIEPI).....	137
Figure II.13	Actinide burner process with nitride/pyrochemical process.....	138
Figure II.14	MA-loading methods in fast reactor.....	155
Figure II.15	Concept of double stratum fuel cycle.....	163
Figure II.16	Concept of accelerator-based transmutation plant	165
Figure II.17	Radiotoxicity balances	184
Figure II.18	Effect of transmutation on reduction of minor actinide accumulation.....	186
Figure II.19	Radioactivity of PWR type spent fuel.....	194
Figure II.20	Radiotoxic inventory of UOX fuel as a function of time.....	194
Figure II.21	Potential radioactivity of actinides in the glasses from the standard reprocessing of PWR type spent fuel	196
Figure II.22	Potential radioactivity of main fission products in the glasses from the standard reprocessing of PWR type spent fuel	196
Figure II.23	Radiotoxic inventory of MOX fuel as a function of time	197
Figure II.24	Reactor park compositions	198
Figure II.25	Evolution of radiotoxicity in wastes.....	202
Figure II.26	Surface facilities. General layout	218
Figure II.27	Underground facilities. General layout.....	218
Figure II.28	Evolution of mean dose rates in the case of disposal of 40 GWd/tHM UOX spent fuel	219
Figure II.29	Swedish repository concept.....	221
Figure II.30	Overview of the canister.....	222

Figure II.31	Evolution of the expectation value of the dose rates in the case of disposal of 45 GWd/tHM MOX spent fuel.....	223
Figure II.32	Reference repository in salt formations in the Gorleben salt dome	224
Figure II.33	Evolution of the individual dose rate for the most important nuclides.....	225
Figure II.34	Cavern-convection scenario, evolution of individual dose rate for the most important nuclides	226
Figure II.35	A schematic view of a repository in the Boom Clay	227
Figure II.36	Normal evolution scenario; calculated total individual dose rate for the water pathway	228

List of tables

Table II.1	Extractability of actinide nitrates in 3 M nitric acid by TBP.....	113
Table II.2	Status of R&D on aqueous separation techniques	130
Table II.3	Mean cross-sections of actinides.....	148
Table II.4	Neutron consumption normalised to 1 fission.....	149
Table II.5	Mass balances for homogeneous recycling in thermal reactors	151
Table II.6	Mass balances for heterogeneous recycling in thermal reactors.....	153
Table II.7	Mass balances for homogeneous recycling in fast reactors	157
Table II.8	Mass balances for heterogeneous recycling in fast reactors	159
Table II.9	Irradiation of americium targets in FR.....	160
Table II.10	Core performance at the equilibrium cycle of metal-fuelled fast reactor	162
Table II.11	Reactor design parameters of actinide burner reactors.....	164
Table II.12	Characteristics of the Na and Pb-Bi cooled 820 MW-ADS cores with (MA, Pu) nitride fuels	167
Table II.13	Pu and minor actinide balance of different types of reactors	175
Table II.14	Production of long-lived fission products (PWR UO ₂ 50 GWd/t)	175
Table II.15	Ranking of reactors with respect to ⁹⁹ Tc transmutation capability	178
Table II.16	Effective dose coefficients of actinides FD _{RN}	192
Table II.17	Effective dose coefficients of fission products FD _{RN}	193
Table II.18	Recycling mode with varying reactor park composition.....	200
Table II.19	Radiotoxic inventory reduction factor due to MA recycling as a function of disposal times.....	200
Table II.20	Reactor inventories in different systems (kg/GWe).....	201
Table II.21	Radiotoxic inventory reduction factor as a function of recycling mode and disposal time without Cm recycling.....	201
Table II.22	Masses in wastes (kg/TWhe).....	203
Table II.23	Radionuclides discharged from reprocessing plants.....	208
Table II.24	Local and regional collective doses to the public.....	210
Table II.25	Mass of transuranic elements in reactor park (tHM/100 GWe).....	212
Table II.26	Annual discharge of TRU wastes (tHM/100 GWe-0.8 year)	213
Table II.27	Alpha activity of TRU nuclides in spent fuel types in TBq/tHM.....	214