Studsvik

Assessment of Radioactivity Inventory – a key parameter in the clearance for recycling process

MR2014 Symposium, April 8-10, 2014, Studsvik, Nyköping, Sweden

Klas Lundgren Arne Larsson



Background

- Studsvik was founded in 1947 as a state own research company with the mission to develop nuclear power in Sweden.
- Already in the old days Studsvik paid a lot of attention in materials characterisation. The interest in characterisation has remained with an increased focus on the back-end products.
- Studsvik has developed several models for theoretical and practical inventory determination.



Nuclide	Т _% [у]	λ [s ⁻¹]	Daughter	Main source
H-3	12.3	1.79E-09		Fuel, Ind(B, Li)
Be-10	1.60E+06	1.37E-14		Fuel, Ind(Be, B)
C-14	5700	3.85E-12		Fuel, Ind(N)
CI-36	3.01E+05	7.30E-14		Ind(CI)
Ca-41	1.03E+05	2.13E-13		Ind(Ca)
Fe-55	2.73	8.05E-09		Ind(Fe)
Co-60	5.27	4.17E-09		Ind(Co)
Ni-59	7.60E+04	2.89E-13		Ind(Ni)
Ni-63	101	2.17E-10		Ind(Ni)
Se-79	1.10E+06	2.00E-14		Fuel, Ind(Se, Br)



Why is assessment of the radioactivity inventory important?

- Key parameter in the decommissioning planning
- Required for demonstration of safety
- The basis for the development and licensing of disposal facilities
- Inventory data are required for the further handling of the material
- Good inventory understanding saves money and time





Overview - well developed areas

- Activity assessment for easy to measure nuclides (gamma)
- Assessment inside and close to NPP reactor pressure vessel
- Systems for which the models have been validated by measurements







Areas with development potentials

- Models for hard to measure nuclides
- Models for systems with low contamination levels far from the reactor core
- Nuclide distribution after treatment for critical nuclides (such as C-14 and CI-36)
- Characterisation models for areas where nuclide vectors have varied by time.







Basics - Importance of good understanding

7

Process parameters:

- How the materials, systems and buildings have been contaminated – and decontaminated
- Variations in operations over the years and how it has effected the activity build up

Data quality objectives:

 Which nuclides are of importance, when and why?



Assessments performed – Reactors Permanently Shutdown

• NPP Barsebäck-1 and -2 (BWR, closed 1999/2005):

- Project "RivAkt", Total activity assessment (2007)
- evaluation of performed system decontaminations (2008),
- improved assessment of activity in reactor internals (2013)
- Ågesta (PHWR, closed in 1974):

- Total activity assessment (2010)

• Studsvik-R2 (Research reactor, closed in 2005):

- Calculation of neutron induced activity



Assessments performed – Reactors in Operation

• NPP Ringhals (1 x BWR + 3 x PWR):

- Total activity assessment (2007)
- Update (2010, 2012)

• NPP Forsmark (3 x BWR):

- Total activity assessment (2010)
- Update (2012)



• NPP Oskarshamn (3 x BWR):

- Total activity assessment (2010)
- Update (2012)



Determination of activity inventories

MR2014 Symposium, April 8 – 10, 2014, Studsvik, Sweden



Prerequisites

- Total operation time for each reactor is based on plant specifications
 - Actual operation history for the Barsebäck, Ågesta and Studsvik reactors
 - Predicted operation times, 50 or 60 years, for reactors still in operation
- Transition period (shorter) prior to dismantling
 - Only nuclides with half-lives >1 years considered
- Operational waste (spent fuel, resins, filters, etc.) excluded
 - Some amounts of waste remain in the waste handling systems
- No decontamination of primary loop prior to decommissioning
 - Barsebäck plants where decontamination campaigns have been performed
- Only plant materials with activity expected to exceed clearance levels are included

11

Input to activity assessment

- Safety Analysis Reports (SAR)
- Plant data
 - Dose rate and gamma scan campaigns during outage
 - Reactor water activity data
 - Moisture content in steam (for BWRs)
 - Fuel leakage history data
- Component data and surface areas in contact with active process media

12

- Known future operation conditions
 - Remaining operation time
 - Planned modifications (e.g. power uprates), etc.

Source terms considered

- Neutron induced activity in internals, RPV and biological shield
- Activated corrosion products on system surfaces ("Crud")
- Fission products and actinides from leaking fuel:
 - SAR leakage models combined with plant data
 - Fuel dissolution results in:
 - Actinide incorporation in system oxides
 - Tramp U on core → Noble gas daughters in turbine (BWR) and offgas systems
- Contamination of concrete from system leakage



Nuclides considered

Initially 28 nuclides

 H3, C14, Cl36, Ca41, Fe55, Ni59, Co60, Ni63, Sr90, Nb94, Tc99, Ag108m, Cd113m, Sb125, I129, Cs134, Cs135, Cs137, Sm151, Eu152, Eu154, Eu155, Pu238, Pu239, Pu240, Pu241, Am241, Cm244

• Further 20 nuclides included in 2012 update

 Be10, Se79, Mo93, Zr93, Nb93m, Ru106, Pd107, Sn126, Ba133, Pm147, Ho166m, U232, U236, Np237, Pu242, Am242m, Am243, Cm243, Cm245, Cm246

• Some additional nuclides being discussed, e.g.:

- Fe60 (T_{1/2} = 1.5 My)
 - Fe58 (n, γ) Fe59 (n,γ) Fe60 (β-) Co60m (IT) Co60
 Fe60 has high dose factors both for ingestion and inhalation

Additional nuclides call for improved evaluation and validation processes

14

MR2014 Symposium, April 8 – 10, 2014, Studsvik, Sweden



Examples of performed validations



Example of validation: Barsebäck – Activity removed from system decontaminations

ref.date	2007-11-01	2007-11-01	2007-11-01	2007-11-01	
	B1/2008 [Bq]	B2/2007 [Bq]	B2/2002 [Bq]	TOTAL [Bq]	
Co-60 Fe-55 Mn-54 Ni-59 Ni-63 Sb-125 Tc-99 Pu-238 Pu-239 Pu-239 Pu-240 Pu-241	1.33E+12 6.72E+11 8.01E+08 1.68E+09 2.13E+11 2.30E+10 8.44E+05 3.41E+06 4.13E+05 6.75E+05 1.07E+08	2.13E+12 1.28E+12 3.98E+10 1.18E+09 1.59E+11 6.60E+10 3.25E+05 4.69E+06 5.44E+05 8.89E+05 1.83E+08	7.55E+11 6.69E+11 7.91E+08 1.63E+09 2.13E+11 2.44E+10 4.48E+05 1.52E+07 1.76E+06 2.87E+06 5.93E+08	4.21E+12 2.42E+12 4.14E+10 4.50E+09 5.86E+11 1.13E+11 1.62E+06 2.33E+07 2.72E+06 4.44E+06 8.83E+08	Memory effect of fuel dissolution in B2 in 1992 (totally about 10 g U)
Am-241 Cm-244	1.57E+06 3.56E+06	4.03E+05 5.79E+06	1.30E+06 1.87E+07	3.28E+06 2.81E+07	

Example of validation: Ringhals-3 Steam Generator

Findings:

- Higher waste activity than determined by in-plant gamma scanning
 - Complicated geometry to evaluate gamma scans (only peripheral tubes)
- Ni63/Co60 ratio in line with earlier assessments
- C14 was detected in treatment residues
 - The detected amount corresponds to about 0.24% produced in the coolant during one year
 - Additional evaluation discussed

Waste activit	ty from pro	cessing in	Studsvik		
	Bq, 1995-06-01				
Nuclide	Blasting	Melt	Other	Total	
Co60	2.27E+12	2.20E+11	1.07E+12	3.56E+12	
Ni63	2.23E+11	2.16E+10	1.05E+11	3.50E+11	
Ni63/Co60				9.83E-02	
C14	5.68E+08	5.51E+07	2.77E+08	9.00E+08	
C14/Co60				2.53E-04	
In-plant gam	ma scanni	ng on SS	and Inc		
	Bq, 1995-06-01				
Nuclide		Inc600	SS	Total	
Co60		8.83E+11	9.20E+10	9.75E+11	

Ni63 measurements performed by Studsvik C14 measurements performed by Ringhals AB



Example of validation: Barsebäck – Comparison between measured and calculated activity in RPV insulation and biological shield

	Caposil [Bq/kg]		Aluminum Sheet [Bq/kg]	
Nuclide	Calculated Measured		Calculated	Measured
Co-60	3.3E+05	2.4E+05	8.4E+04	6.3E+04
Cs-134	1.4E+05	4.2E+04		
Mn-54	5.6E+05	5.2E+05	3.2E+04	2.0E-4
Zn-65			1-6E+05	6-3E+04
	Concret	te [Bq/kg]	Reinforcem	ent [Bq/kg]
Nuclide	Concret Calculated	te [Bq/kg] Measured	Reinforcem Calculated	ent [Bq/kg] Me _{(F+04} d
Nuclide Co-60	Concret Calculated 7.6E+05	te [Bq/kg] Measured 3.0E+05	ReinforcemCalculated?.7E+07	ent [Bq/kg] <u>Me_{E+04}d</u> 5.2E+06
Nuclide Co-60 Mn-54	Concret Calculated 7.6E+05	te [Bq/kg] Measured 3.0E+05	ReinforcemCalculated?.7E+071.3E+07	ent [Bq/kg] <u>Me:E+04</u> <u>5.2E+06</u> 5.3E+06
Nuclide Co-60 Mn-54 Cs-134	Concret Calculated 7.6E+05 9.0E+04	te [Bq/kg] Measured 3.0E+05 5.5E+04	ReinforcemCalculated?.7E+071.3E+07	ent [Bq/kg] <u>Me_{E+04}d 5.2E+06 5.3E+06</u>
Nuclide Co-60 Mn-54 Cs-134 Eu-152	Concret Calculated 7.6E+05 9.0E+04 1.8E+06	te [Bq/kg] Measured 3.0E+05 5.5E+04 1.3E+06	Reinforcem Calculated ?.7E+07 1.3E+07	ent [Bq/kg] <u>Me_{îE+04}d 5.2E+06 5.3E+06</u>



Conclusions

- Existing inventory assessment models are considered to be of good international standard
- Validations of models by measurements have been performed in "easy" areas - good result
- Critical nuclides in a clearance and disposal perspective are different

19

- Further efforts may be needed/of advantage
 - to reduce uncertainty especially far from the reactor core
 - to validate the inventory assessment models

